

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,247	11/13/2003	Mihai Polverejan	17462-17	8580
7590 08/19/2004			EXAMINER	
Henry E. Naylor			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
Kean, Miller, H	awthorne, D'Armond,			
McCowan & Jarman, L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 3513			1754	
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-3513			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amelia ada a Ata	TA # ./ \	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner )	/3  Veryo.)   Group Art t	Init
	Redickson	1 1 4 1 .	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	1. 41		nce address
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE DF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE	MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> </ul>	s, a reply within the statutory minin	num of thirty (30) days will be co	nsidered timely.
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL.			•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	cept for formal matters, <b>pros</b> 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the merits is 3.	s closed in
Disposition of Claims			
□ Claim(s)		is/are pending in the	e application
Of the above claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)			conolaciation.
□ Claim(s)	:	is/are rejected	
□ Claim(s)		in/ abit	
反 Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
		requirement.	cuon or election
opplication Papers			
pplication Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review PTO-948		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra		□ disapproved	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	□ disapproved.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	is □ approved	□ disapproved.	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of</li> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of</li> </ul>	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner.	□ disapproved.	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of</li> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner.	□ disapproved.	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-as of the priority documents harmber)	(d). ive been	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-6 of the priority documents hamber)  International Bureau (PCT R	(d). ive been dule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-6 of the priority documents hamber)  International Bureau (PCT R	(d). ive been dule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:  ttachment(s)	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-c of the priority documents hamber)  International Bureau (PCT R	(d). ive been dule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:  ttachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  er.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-1 of the priority documents has mber)  International Bureau (PCT Reserved)	(d). ave been dule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:  ttachment(s)	is approved pjected to by the Examiner.  er.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-6 of the priority documents has mber)  International Bureau (PCT Reserved)	(d). ive been dule 1 7.2(a)).	olication, PTO-15

Application/Control Number: 10/712,247

Art Unit: 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a catalyst, classified in class 423, subclass 448.

II. Claims 6-10, 25-29, drawn to treating catalyst with gas, classified in class 423, subclass 418.2.

- III. Claims 11-19, drawn to chemical processes, classified in class various, subclass various.
- IV. Claims 20-24, drawn to isomerization, classified in class 585, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used to isomerize hydrocarbons.

Groups I and III also share this relationship. The catalyst can be used to make CO.

Groups I and IV also share this relationship. The catalyst can be used to make CO.

Groups II, III and IV in their various permutations and combinations are subcombinations usable together. The catalyst may be used in other ways, such as making CO or isomerization.

If Group III is elected, then applicant must elect and single disclosed specie of chemical reaction.

It is noted that claims 6 and 25 recite the same steps but use different preambles. If these claims are amended- or argued- to be different, then accordingly they will be further restricted. If they are intended to be different, applicant should so state in their next response, along with appropriate amendment or argument. Failure to do so will be taken as acquiescence to the position stated in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,247

Art Unit: 1754

Page 3

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754